Ô[]^¦ãt@c^åÁ(æc^¦ãæ¢

Contents

	List of Illustrations	xi
	Acknowledgments	xiii
	Chronology	xv
	Introduction: The Jewish Millennium in Spain	1
	PART 1. La Convivencia	
1.	A Marriage of Convenience	7
2.	The Visigoth Persecution of the Jews	12
3.	Muslim Rule	16
4.	Jews of Muslim Spain	25
5.	Judah Halevi and The Kuzari	35
6.	A Golden Age of Poetry	43
7.	The End of the Caliphate	52
8.	Maimonides	59
9.	Christian Rule	70
10.	Jews in Castile and Aragon	78
11.	Book of Splendor and Kabbalah Mysticism	88
	PART 2. The End of Tolerance	

12. Toward 13919713. Seeds of Destruction11514. The Conversion of the Jews12315. The Church Campaign against the Jews,
Postconversion136

PART 3. The Age of the Converts

	-	
16.	New Christians	157
17.	Converts and Castile	167
18.	Anti- and Pro-Converso Writings	201
	PART 4. The Spanish Inquisition	
19.	The Catholic Monarchy	213
20.	Origins of the Inquisition	223
21.	The Inquisition	233
22.	Arrest, Trial, and Punishment	247
23.	Inquisition Expansion	262
	PART 5. The Last Iberian Jews	
24.	Spain and Expulsion	277
25.	The Last Jews of Iberia	296
	PART 6. After Expulsion	
26.	Purity of Blood	311
27.	Jewish Blood, Black Blood	329
28.	Conversos and the Beginnings of the	

20.	Conversos and the Deginnings of the	
	Modern Novel	340
29.	Coming to America	356
	Conclusion: The Millennium in Spain, and After	369
	Notes	375
	Bibliography	391

Index 401

1

A Marriage of Convenience

The period in Spanish history beginning with the Muslim conquest in the eighth century is called by some the *convivencia*. The Spanish word literally means "living together." It is used to refer to a time in Spain when Christians, Muslims, and Jews coexisted in unparalleled harmony. For more than half a millennium, under either Muslim or Christian political control, the three cultures lived together, worked together, and explored new and old ideas together.

The *convivencia* was popularized—both as term and concept—by Américo Castro, a great Spanish historian of the twentieth century. Castro's work demonstrated the essential contributions of Muslims and Jews to Spanish culture, and he argued that these contributions played a pivotal role in forming the national character of Spain: "Between the tenth and the fifteenth centuries Spanish history was Christian-Islamic-Judaic; and during those centuries the definitive structure of Hispanic life was forged. It is not possible to break up this history into stagnant pools, or to divide it off into parallel, synchronous currents, because each one of the three groups was a part of the circumstances projected by the other two."¹

Castro's convivencia has inspired numerous descriptions of a multicultured Spain. In her recent book *Ornament of the World*, María Rosa Menocal describes the *convivencia* as a multicultural Eden, and religious intolerance was the apple that brought exile from this paradise.² Islamic nationalists have cited the *convivencia* as proof that Islam is tolerant by nature, claiming that only Zionist distortions require Muslims to stray in self-defense from their natural tolerance of other faiths.

The *convivencia* provides a useful perspective on Jewish and Spanish history, highlighting the important contributions that Jews and Mus-

lims in Spain made to Spanish and European culture. It also explains the basis for the great cultural accomplishments of the Spanish Jews and their economic and political achievements.

The exclusive focus on the *convivencia* and tolerance, however, distorts Jewish and Spanish history. While Jews participated in the Muslim and Christian kingdoms of Spain, they also largely lived apart from the other religious groups and maintained their autonomous culture. A focus exclusively on tolerance also makes it difficult to understand the bouts of anti-Jewish violence and repression that periodically erupted in both the Muslim and the Christian kingdoms.

In fact, Spaniards have traditionally presented the history of Jewish, Christian, and Muslim relations as a story of conflict, not cooperation. The *convivencia* was only popularized in the latter half of the twentieth century, by Américo Castro. Spaniards traditionally have focused instead on the *reconquista*, the lengthy war to reverse the Islamic invasion of Spain. This traditional Spanish narrative emphasizes devotion to Catholicism, a faith that led the Spanish to victory against the Muslim invaders, and which culminated in the Spanish expulsion of Jews and Moors from Spain. Jews, as well as Muslims, were foreign cultures that needed to be purged from Iberia to maintain Spanish Christian cultural purity.

This is the history told by conservative intellectuals such as the influential nineteenth-century scholar Marcelino Menéndez y Pelayo, whose basic views have been summarized by the British historian Henry Kamen: "[Menéndez y Pelayo] maintained the view that since earliest times there had been a genuine nation called Spain that drew its strength from the eternal values of Catholicism alone. All other cultures, whether Jewish or Arabic, were passing phases that only contributed distortions (or 'heresies') of the true essence."³

Castro's work contradicted the traditional focus of conservative Spanish historians on the purity of Catholic Spain, an image that also lay at the heart of Franco's nationalist ideology. As Isabelle Rohr notes in *The Spanish Right and the Jews*: "The myth of the *Reconquista* was not only central to Nationalist thinking [within Spain], it was also the lens through which [Nationalist Spain] perceived the external world. Thus,

Hitler's anti-Semitic campaign was labeled a crusade to save Christian Europe."⁴ The victory of Franco's nationalists in 1939 forced Américo Castro, along with his liberal ideas, into exile.

Castro became extremely influential outside Spain, but Spanish scholars, at least during the Franco years, rejected the *convivencia* for the ideal of the reconquest and instead focused on religious conflict, not cooperation. For example, in his book *Understanding Spain*, the twentieth-century Spanish philosopher Julián Marías, who had supported the republic, at the end of the Franco period still criticized Castro and defended the idea of Catholic Spain: "To speak of 'Christians, Moors, and Jews' as homogenous and comparable elements means exercising very great violence on the reality of medieval Spain and disfiguring its structure; above all, its projective, that is to say, historical, character. What we understand by Spain . . . is the Christian Spain that did not accept its Islamization and struggled against it, with more or less success, with enthusiasm or with apathy, from early in the eighth century to the end of the fifteenth, without a single interruption of that constitutive project."⁵

Tolerance or Conflict?

Was the history of the Spanish Jews a story of religious tolerance or of religious conflict? From the Jewish perspective the period of the *convivencia* has a mixed legacy. It was, in some ways, a golden age. During this period Jews enjoyed over five hundred years of relative stability. During much of this period, up until the fourteenth century, more Jews lived in Spain than in all the European countries combined.⁶ And Jews prospered. Some Jews, rich and powerful, under both Muslim and Christian rulers even became governmental ministers. Intellectually, the period opened the Jews to new ideas: They tried to reconcile their religion with the Hellenistic ideas that they had struggled with since the Maccabean rebellion. They built on Muslim advances to become world leaders in philosophy, medicine, and science. They explored new forms of mystical spiritualism, creating the canon of religious works known collectively as the Kabbalah.

Yet even at the best of times, Jews never were fully safe and secure under the *convivencia*. This so-called golden age was marked by out-

Ô[]^¦ãt@e^åÁ(æe^¦ãæ¢

breaks of repression, including the murders of prominent Jews and pogroms targeting entire communities. The *convivencia*—first under Muslim, then Christian rule—ended in violence and repression that matched or exceeded anything else in Europe.

Many people are struck by these extremes. How could Spain go from being the most tolerant to the most intolerant society toward the Jews? How could Spain foster intolerance after it had prospered as a multicultural society?

These are natural questions to ask, but they are the wrong questions. What made Spain unusual was its level of tolerance, not its repression. There was nothing unusual about intolerance; repression of Jews was endemic in the Muslim and Catholic worlds. Jews had been expelled from England and France. Rumors of ritual killings and well poisonings, as well as fears that Jews had been fomenting the plague, were all common reasons for anti-Jewish persecution. The Crusades often became a vehicle for anti-Jewish violence. What happened in Spain was different only in degree, not in kind. The interesting question is not why Spain turned intolerant, but why Spanish Jews were granted so much freedom and access to power in the first place.

A Marriage of Convenience

Muslims and Christians showed Jews tolerance because specific circumstances made Jews valuable to the rulers of Muslim and Christian Spain. To the Muslims the Jews were allies they could use to bolster their minority rule over a majority Christian population. To the Christians Jews were important cultural and political envoys to the Muslim world. Jews under the Muslims learned administrative skills later needed by the Christian rulers trained in warfare, not governance. As skilled artisans, Jews dominated the productive sector of the economy and made up much of the tax base. The *convivencia* was a marriage of convenience.

Richard Fletcher, a British historian who specializes in medieval Spain, describes the pragmatic basis for Spanish tolerance: "It is a myth of the modern imagination that medieval Islamic Spain was, in any sense that we should recognize today, a tolerant society. Much the same could be said of the fortunes of the Mudejars [Muslims] and Jews under Chris-

V@^ÁR^,ã:@ÁTã∥^}}ã{Á§iÁÜ]æãjÁ $\mathbb{R}^{+}^{AO[+)}$

Ò¢ã^•Á§ÁÛ^]@eelæå/

tian rule. They were reluctantly tolerated, not out of principle but out of pragmatism: because they could be useful."7

Even Américo Castro recognized the practical motivations for embracing the tolerant practices known as the convivencia. "That the three religions coexisted is due less to tolerance than to vital weakness."8

This marriage of convenience was maintained by the Muslim and the Christian rulers only with difficulty, in the face of strong, popular anti-Jewish pressures. In Muslim Spain the Jews suffered from the religious intolerance of fundamentalist Muslim sects. In Christian Spain motives for intolerance included resentment against the Jewish moneylenders and tax collectors for the Crown. The Jews came under almost constant attack from the church, particularly from the mendicant friars who had taken up the mission of converting the Jews.

This underlying antagonism to the Jewish presence created inevitable tensions even in the best of times in the Jews' relations with their Muslim and Christian neighbors. Strong, stable government could suppress anti-Jewish pressures. But when the government lacked the power or the will to protect the Jews, the result could be tragic—either for individuals or, on occasion, for entire communities. This happened repeatedly to Jews under both their Muslim and their Christian patrons. Significant anti-Jewish measures almost always came at periods of unusual political instability.

The expulsion decree of 1492 was an exception because it came at a time of both political stability and an exceptionally strong monarchy. But Ferdinand and Isabella could sign such a decree because, by 1492, the practical incentives for tolerance had largely disappeared. Converts from Judaism—controlled through the repressive apparatus of the Spanish Inquisition—could perform almost all the services that the Jews had provided in the past.

The end to the practical considerations that fostered tolerance in Spain would end the convivencia forever and lead to the eradication of Judaism from Iberia for nearly five hundred years.

The Visigoth Persecution of the Jews

It is not known when Jews first settled in Iberia. Medieval legends have it that the city of Toledo in central Spain was founded by Jews, following the destruction of the first temple in Jerusalem and the Babylonian captivity.¹ Some Sephardic families claimed descent from the time of King David. Jews may have joined early Phoenician or Carthaginian trading settlements. It is known that Jews had accompanied the Romans into the area and had joined settlements in the Roman provinces of Hispania and Lusitania. The oldest known synagogue remains that have been discovered, in Elche (near the Mediterranean), date from between the third and the fifth century.

But before the turn of the first millennium, the Visigoths almost brought an end to the Jewish presence in Iberia. The Visigoths were Germanic mercenaries who, after sacking Rome, wandered west to pick off the remains of the defunct empire. By the end of the fifth century, they controlled most of the Iberian Peninsula. Theirs was a weak kind of control, a series of unstable reigns rocked by wars of succession and local revolts. The original royal line died out in 507 AD. Only eight of the twenty-three Visigoth kings succeeded their fathers, some of them only very briefly.² Religious differences stoked instability: the Visigoths initially were Arians, who believed that Jesus had an existence distinct from God, while most Iberians followed the Roman Catholic belief in the Trinity.

Culturally, the most prominent intellectual figure during the Visigoth period was Isidore of Seville, the archbishop of that city, who was later canonized by the Catholic Church. His *Etymologiae* was meant to be an exhaustive encyclopedia of all learning known to humankind. It demonstrated how much Greek and Roman knowledge was lost to Europe, and why, given this loss of classical learning, these years would be called the "Dark Ages." Isidore also wrote *De fide catholica ex Veteriet Novo Testamento, contra Judaeos*, which was to become one of the most popular anti-Jewish books of the Middle Ages.

At first the Jews were treated under the Visigoths much as they had been under Roman rule. They were permitted to hold senatorial rank and were recruited to important fortresses for garrison duty. Jews were permitted their own courts and allowed to perform their religious observances.³ But the Visigoth king Reccared, who converted his people to the Roman faith in 589 to conform with Spanish practice, enacted a few laws unfavorable to the Jews. Serious troubles began with King Sisebut, who ascended to the throne in 612. He freed all Christian slaves owned by Jews and forbade Jews from hiring Christian workers. Violators had half of their property confiscated. Sisebut also instituted the death penalty for Jews convicted of proselytizing. Jews married to Christians had to convert or leave the kingdom, and Jews were prohibited from holding any office with power over Christians.

Either these laws proved ineffective, or King Sisebut lost patience with them. He subsequently instituted forced conversions, including the conversions of several prominent rabbis. Jews fled en masse into exile. Even Bishop Isidore, who had penned his great tract against the Jews, protested the severity of these measures.

Sisebut's far-reaching measures were the first in a series of cycles of repression and tolerance. Kings favorable toward the Jews alternated with others who passed ever more repressive—even genocidal—anti-Jewish laws. Ultimately, the Visigoths promulgated some of the most repressive anti-Jewish laws in European history. As noted by the historian Norman Roth: "The Visigothic period produced the most vile polemic and the harshest legislation against Jews encountered at any time in medieval Europe."⁴

King Chintila, whose reign began in 636, required all Jews to convert or to leave the Visigoth territory. Any convert deemed insincere was subject to death by stoning. Reccesuinth, who reigned from 649 to 672, made the practice of Jewish rites a capital offense. Erwig, king after 680, promulgated twenty-eight anti-Jewish laws, including a penalty of death for refusal to eat pork. King Egica, Erwig's successor, copied King Reccesuinth's description of the Jews as a "contagious pestilence" by coining the Latin phrase *judaeorum pestis*, or the "Jewish plague."⁵ Under Egica Jews were stripped of all they possessed and ordered into slavery.

Politics—rather than religious fanaticism—seem the most likely cause for these actions. Repressive measures of kings from Chintila to Egica were often suspended by succeeding monarchs like Wamba and Witiza. These changes of policy might have reflected efforts by successive kings to appease their most powerful bases of support. Anti-Jewish laws pleased the Spanish bishops (who held considerable secular power beyond their religious authority), while the more tolerant kings traditionally looked to the Jews and their aristocratic allies for support.

Bishop Julian of Toledo articulated the anti-Jewish opinion of clerics in the late 600s: the Jews "had to be cut off . . . like the cancerous part of the body, before this harmful disease could be passed on to the healthy parts."⁶ The royal anti-Jewish policies of the seventh century imply a reliance on the support of the bishops, since almost all the anti-Jewish legislation came from those kings (Reccared, Sisebut, Chintila, Reccesuinth, Erwig, and Egica) in close alliance with—or under the thumb of—the clerical party.⁷

The fact that each new set of anti-Jewish laws remained valid for generations and became more draconian over time indicates that the rulers who imposed them were too weak to effectively implement them. Otherwise, it would not make sense to implement a law enslaving Jews a generation after all Jews faced an order of death or conversion. As noted by the historian Eliyah Ashtor: "The very severity of these enactments is proof that they were not fully executed, and despite the decrees of kings and councils many Jews remained in Spain. Indeed, from the decisions of the councils we learn that Jews bribed the nobles who held the reins of government, and even the clergy themselves, not to enforce these laws strictly. Nevertheless their plight worsened and they looked for a source of deliverance."⁸

For Jews relief from Visigoth persecution would come with the Muslim invasion of the Iberian Peninsula. But the legacy of the Visigoths continued to poison the lives of the Jews. The Visigoth experiment in

Ô[]^¦ãt@c^åÁ(æc^¦ãæ¢

forced conversions was cited by advocates of anti-Jewish measures in the later medieval period as a precedent for the much larger forced conversions of the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries. The false legend that the Jews had played an important role in the betrayal of the Visigoths to the invading Muslims would become standard fodder for anti-Jewish propaganda in Spain.

Muslim Rule

Al-Andalus

The memory of al-Andalus—Muslim rule in Spain—which brought the greatest Muslim culture ever to flourish in what is now Christian Europe, still resonates in the modern world half a millennium after its disappearance. In the past few years, the dream of al-Andalus transformed into a nightmare, as al-Qaeda called for its restoration. Al-Qaeda leader Aymanal-Zawahiri called al-Andalus "a promised land that one day would revert to Islamic rule."¹ After the 2004 al-Qaeda-inspired train bombings in Madrid, one Spanish parliamentarian pointed to allegiance to the memory of al-Andalus as a motivation for the attacks: "They have a grander vision, which is an obsession with the demise of al-Andalus. We hear this in the sermons of the militant Islamic sheikhs."² One hundred and ninety-one people were killed in these attacks.

The memory of al-Andalus persists in part due to the striking physical legacy the Moors left in Spain. These architectural remnants include great Islamic structures such as the Córdoban Mosque, with its dark, indoor forest of striped marble arches—recycled stone from a Christian cathedral that in turn had been built on the ruins of a Roman temple; the Alcázar palace in Seville, refurbished by Pedro the Cruel in the fourteenth century in the Moorish style, and used as a residence by Queen Isabella at the beginning of her reign; and the most famous palatial complex in Spain, the Alhambra in Granada, finished in the fifteenth century shortly before the end of Muslim rule in Spain.

This physical legacy of al-Andalus extends throughout Spain. The builders and artisans of Islamic Spain, both *mudejar* (Islamic) and *mozárabe* (Christian and raised in the Moorish kingdoms), were valued throughout Iberia, and they erected buildings from Córdoba to

Ô[]^¦ãt@c^åÁ(æc^¦ãæ¢

Toledo, including Moorish-style churches and synagogues. This architectural legacy is only a small portion of the extensive wealth and cultural achievements of al-Andalus at its height, which transformed Jewish life. Islamic intellectual discoveries and achievements exposed Jews to the most advanced scientific, mathematical, and philosophical ideas. Two of the greatest medieval Jewish works, Maimonides's *Guide for the Perplexed* and Halevi's *Book of the Kuzari*, were written during this period, and in Arabic, not in Hebrew. In exchange for Jewish support, Moorish leaders provided the Jews with unparalleled opportunities for wealth and power. The most successful Jews became courtiers, fully assimilated (except for religion) in the life of the Islamic court.

But for all its achievements and longevity—there was a Muslim state in Spain for over seven hundred years—al-Andalus began as an accidental kingdom and remained always fragile. Only the strongest leaders could cope with the great physical and cultural diversity that always threatened to fragment the kingdom. In the end these internal tensions, rather than external enemies, led to the shattering of the caliphate. The fragmentation of al-Andalus weakened the sponsorship that Jews received from the kingdom's leaders in exchange for Jewish support. Al-Andalus became vulnerable to invasion by tribes from North Africa that saw nothing to be gained by dealing with the Jews. Yet while life in al-Andalus became increasingly intolerable for Jews, the benefits they had received from the Moors in the form of wealth, administrative experience, and cultural knowledge made them valuable and welcome guests to their next "hosts," the newly risen Christian kingdoms of the North.

Invasion

The al-Andalus that sheltered and nourished the Spanish Jews for several centuries started as an accidental kingdom. In 710 a Berber tribesman named Tarif ibn Malik led four hundred men on a successful raiding party into southern Spain. The raid gave him immortality—he became the namesake of the city Tarifa—and encouraged a larger incursion. The very next year, in 711, an army of Moors from North Africa, led by the governor of Tangiers, Tariq ibn Ziyad, invaded southern Spain. According to legend, Julián, the Goth governor of Ceuta, incited the invasion

in order to avenge the rape of his daughter by Roderic, the Visigoth king. More likely Julián used the invasion to advance his own claims to the Visigoth throne.

Invasion expeditions crossing the narrow strait separating Africa from Spain were frequent. A common (although disputed) explanation for the origin of the Arab name for southern Spain, al-Andalus, is that it comes from the Vandals, a Germanic tribe that invaded North Africa after being pushed out from Iberia by the Visigoths. From the perspective of the North Africans, Spain was the land of the Vandals.

Tariq found little resistance: King Roderick was engaged in the north, fighting off a Basque revolt. He reinforced his raiding party with an army of seven thousand—mainly Berbers—and built a fortress called Jabal Tariq, which gave its name to the nearby rocky hill, Gibraltar.³ Tariq then conquered Toledo, where he was joined by Musa ibn Nusayr, his superior as governor of North Africa, who took over the expedition. Musa eventually led his armies to the Picos de Europa, mountains near the Atlantic coast. There he was stopped by a resurgent Spanish force that had been able to take shelter in the mountains. Geography as much as military resistance preserved the north from Muslim rule.

Neither Musa nor Tariq in the end profited from this great conquest. Summoned by the caliph Walid ibn Abd al-Malik to report to Damascus, Musa left his son, Abd al-Aziz, in charge of the newly conquered territory. In Damascus Tariq found Walid on his deathbed. According to a different version of this legend, Walid's heir, Sulayman, ordered Musa and Tariq to delay their trip to Damascus until after Walid's death, so that Sulayman could claim all the booty himself. Instead, Musa brought his treasure to the ailing caliph.

Sulayman accused Musa of embezzling the treasure, fined him, and had him imprisoned and tortured until he could pay.⁴ Tariq was thrashed and demoted; he died in obscurity.⁵ Musa's son, Aziz, was assassinated by one of his own men at Sulayman's orders.⁶ Sulayman, as an Arab ruling over a geographically vast and ethnically diverse caliphate, could not afford to encourage independence among his far-flung subjects, some of whom had only recently converted to Islam. He gave similar treatment to the conquerors of Turkestan and India.

A Prince of Islam

The new Muslim province started out as unstable and provincial as its Visigothic predecessors. This large and ethnically diverse province, with a Christian majority, needed a strong leader to become a coherent and vibrant kingdom. It would receive one with the entry of Prince Abd al-Rahman ibn Mu'awiya ibn Hisham, the last surviving heir to the Umayyad kingdom. Abd al-Rahman came to Spain because of a revolt in the heart of the young Islamic caliphate. After the death of Muhammad, Islam was ruled by four successor caliphs, all contemporaries of the Prophet. The last, Ali, was assassinated in 661. His successor, Muawiyah I, claimed a common ancestor with the Prophet. Muawiyah I founded the Umayyad dynasty and moved the capital of Islam from Medina to Damascus.

The Umayyads were defeated in a revolt by the Abbasid clan, which claimed descent from one of Muhammad's uncles. The Abbasids proceeded to obliterate any trace of their predecessors. They searched out and killed every member of the Umayyad family, even destroying Umayyad grave sites. But one Umayyad escaped. Abd al-Rahman, grandson of Caliph Hisham ibn Abd al-Malik, fled as soldiers surrounded his house and murdered his family. From Syria he escaped first to Palestine, then Egypt and the Maghreb. There he found allies in Spain. With this support he defeated the Abbasid governor. Abd al-Rahman established himself as a semi-independent emir. As an aristocrat with a family connection to the Prophet, he had a royal claim to leadership. As the son of a Berber mother, he could exploit his ethnic connections to the North African tribesmen who had conquered al-Andalus. Abd al-Rahman ruled for thirty years, establishing a dynasty that would last for almost three hundred years. He and his successors built this emirate into one of the richest provinces in the world.

The Caliphate

Only the strongest leader could fully unify Muslim Spain. The first to successfully meet this challenge was Abd al-Rahman III, who in the tenth century converted Spain to a fully independent caliphate. Up until

the tenth century, the Umayyad rulers had failed to establish a strong central government because the country's diversity, both ethnically and geographically, worked against it. The Umayyad Arabs ruled over distinct ethnic groups, including Berbers, Spanish Muslims, Christians, Jews, and Slavs imported as slaves. Moreover, the widely dispersed cities and mountainous terrain had always made Spain a difficult land to control. Historian Richard Fletcher observes "that geography encouraged the political fragmentation of the peninsula; that Roman and Visigothic centralism had depended upon the active and benevolent role of local magnates; and that the imposition of Umayyad rule after the chaotic years of the mid-eighth century had been slow."⁷

All this changed in the year 912, when Abd al-Rahman III assumed control of the emirate, a succession surrounded by violent political intrigue. Abd al-Rahman had been groomed for succession by his grandfather, after an uncle had beaten Abd al-Rahman's father to death. Once in power Abd al-Rahman executed one of his own sons for disloyalty, after his son criticized his father's cruelty. He is said to have cut his own grandfather's throat during the Festival of the Sacrifice on the open-air oratory, where Muslims were slaughtering animals for the ritual sacrifice.⁸

These political struggles were exacerbated by the absence of clear rights to succeed by primogeniture. Multiple marriages—each emir was allowed four wives and unlimited concubines—created multiple claimants to the throne. Abd al-Rahman himself was the son of a Christian concubine. Due to frequent intermarriage, the Arab leaders were Arabs more by culture and tradition than by ethnic descent. Abd al-Rahman's father was also born of a Christian concubine, and Abd al-Rahman was probably three-quarters native Iberian. He had blue eyes, light skin, and reddish hair and was said to have dyed his hair black in order to make himself look more like an Arab.⁹

The new leader immediately set about taking control of his country. From 912 to 929, he engaged in almost continuous warfare with rebellious regions. He also faced potential enemies in North Africa and on his northern border with the Christian kingdoms, but he focused on his own kingdom. The seventeen years it took him to consolidate control of his country demonstrates the enormous diversity of interests that fractured Muslim society. On January 16, 929, al-Rahman felt secure enough to proclaim himself caliph, head of an independent caliphate no longer subordinate to Abbasid rule in Baghdad. This title has a religious as well as a political significance. As caliph (*khalifa*) he acted as God's representative on earth through the inheritance of the Prophet Muhammad, since the Prophet had acted both as the religious and the political leader (imam) of the community of believers established by him in Medina.¹⁰

In addition to Abd al-Rahman's domestic successes, foreign considerations may have prompted him to proclaim an independent caliphate in Spain. Abbasid rule was weak: al-Muqtadir, leader of the Abbasids in Baghdad from 908 until 932, was deposed twice during his reign by rival Abbasid candidates. His successors were little more than puppets in the hands of Turkish troops, and their reigns were short.¹¹

Abd al-Rahman also had a new foreign rival to worry about. In Morocco a new dynasty called the Fatimids was founded, which claimed descent from Muhammad though the Prophet's daughter, Fatima. Its ruler, Idris ibn Abd Allah, was Shiite (the Abbasids and the Umayyads were Sunni). In 909 Abd Allah named Morocco a caliphate and took on the messianic title of al-Mahdi. Abd al-Rahman may have claimed title to the caliphate to challenge the legitimacy of the Fatimids, his closest Muslim rivals. The Fatimid caliphate founded by al-Mahdi eventually moved into Egypt, where it founded Cairo as its capital and became the most powerful force in the Muslim world.

To commemorate the founding of his new kingdom, Abd al-Rahman began the construction of a huge palace outside Córdoba called Madinat al-Zahra, to serve both as his residence and the seat of government. It may have been meant to rival the Abbasid palace of Samarra. He spent as much as a third of the country's annual income on the building.¹² When completed by his son Al-Hakem II, the Madinat was one of the most luxurious buildings in the world. According to possibly legendary descriptions, the magnificent throne rooms included the most important, the "Hall of the Caliphs." It was constructed from thin sheets of variously tinted translucent marble. In the center of the room, a large bowl containing mercury acted as a mirror that could be tilted to shoot

light all around the room. A colossal pearl, a gift to Abd al-Rahman III from Leo, emperor of Constantinople, hung in the center of the room.¹³ The palace included a menagerie, an aviary, and fishponds so extensive that the daily allowance of bread for their fish is said to have been twelve thousand loaves.¹⁴ The magnificence of the palace demonstrates why the tenth-century Saxon nun Hroswitha, upon hearing descriptions of the caliphate, called it "the brilliant ornament of the world."¹⁵ But this palace, like the caliphate that erected it, proved incredibly fragile: only seventy years after its completion, it would be sacked and abandoned. The caliphate itself lasted only a few years longer.

It appears that Abd al-Rahman's triumphs brought him little personal satisfaction. In her biography of the caliph, Maribel Fierro relates that al-Rahman "was said to have kept a daily written record of his fortynine year's reign. It revealed, after his death, that he had only fourteen days of happiness. He did not say which ones they were."¹⁶

Abd al-Rahman's son and successor, Al-Hakem II, focused on culture and made Córdoba into a world center of learning. It was Al-Hakem who built up the collections of the Córdoba library. Ibn Hazm, a scholar of the eleventh century, described the catalog of the Córdoba library as consisting of over forty volumes, each of them containing more than fifty folios. Richard Fletcher puts the number of books at possibly over one hundred thousand, adding: "Incredible though we may choose to find these figures, we have reliable evidence that books were acquired for the caliph from as far afield as Persia and that he maintained a team of copyists in Córdoba for their rapid multiplication."¹⁷

The Fall of the Caliphate

Although the Caliph Al-Hakem died at the relatively advanced age of sixty-one, he left only one heir, eleven-year-old Hisham II. Al-Hakem's apparent infertility has been attributed to his homosexuality. It is said that he only consorted with men, and that he could only procreate when they dressed a female concubine in male clothing and gave her the masculine name of Jafar.¹⁸

Hisham's youth required the creation of a three-man regency. One of these men, Abu Amir Muhammad ibn Abi Amir al-Ma'afari, took control

Ô[]^¦ã*@e^åÁ(æe^¦ãæ)

of the caliphate while Hisham was still a child. In the West al-Ma'afari became known as Almanzor.¹⁹ Like an evil *wazir* in the *Arabian Nights*, he deliberately pushed the young caliph toward a life of debauchery, so that Almanzor could remain in control of the state.

Almanzor's reign was marked by continuous warfare against his Christian neighbors. The constant battles were aimed less at territorial expansion than at loot. Profit from raids helped finance Almanzor's expenses, including the construction of his own palace complex outside Córdoba, called al-Madina al-Zahira. The raids, driven by Almanzor's greed, would in the future create two significant deleterious consequences for the Muslim kingdom. First, in order to maintain these expeditions, Almanzor imported manpower from northern Africa. Thousands of Berbers were ferried across the straits to al-Andalus, where, still in their tribal units under their own tribal commanders, they became the private armies of Almanzor and his son.²⁰ Al-Andalus always suffered from ethnic conflict, and the introduction of a large Berber military force introduced a threat to the Arab control of the government. These Berbers would play a major role in the destruction of the caliphate.

The second effect of the raids carried out under Almanzor, with Berber support, was to spread anger among Christians over the caliphate's deliberate symbolic attacks on the Christian religion. In 997 his troops invaded the town of Santiago de Compostela in far northwest Spain, the legendary resting place of the apostle James. His men carried the bells of the church dedicated to Saint James to Córdoba. News of this theft raised the renown of the town, helping to establish it as the principal pilgrimage site in Europe. The raid on Santiago prompted the transformation of the apostle into "Santiago Matamoros," or Saint James the Moor-Slayer. Santiago Matamoros became the patron saint of the *reconquista*, inspiring generations of Christians in battles against the Moors. When Córdoba finally fell to King Fernando of Castile in 1236, the bells were returned to the church.

While Almanzor controlled the caliphate, he ruled as *hajib*, or chamberlain, and maintained the fiction that the line of rule from the Umayyad founder remained unbroken. Almanzor's son and heir, Abd al-Rahman ibn Abi Amir—known as Shanjul—was less discrete. He made the caliph,

whom Almanzor had maintained as a puppet ruler, appoint him as heir. Shanjul's bald power grab and his ties to his Berber supporters were too much for the Umayyad Arabs. When the *hajib* left Córdoba for another campaign against the Christians, Umayyad supporters attacked and destroyed his palace. Shanjul was captured and killed. His body was stuck up on a gibbet in his former capital. His police chief and drinking companion, Ibn al-Rassan, was ordered to stand beneath the gibbet and to curse both his dead master and himself.²¹

The coup against the *hajib* set off a twenty-two-year-long civil war for control of Córdoba. The war became a struggle between the Arabs and the Berbers, many of the latter imported as mercenaries by Almanzor. Christian mercenaries and Slavs also participated in the conflict. The unending warfare destroyed much of Córdoba, and the home of the caliph was razed so thoroughly that the ruins would not be recognized as the former palace until 1911. In the end the Berbers triumphed, and the caliphate was destroyed.

